

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/022,957	12/18/2001		Wayne M. Doran	9852.00	7152	
26889	7590	08/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL CHAN				WINTER, JOHN M		
	NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001				3621		

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ز
	10/022,957	DORAN, WAYNE M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John M. Winter	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	une 2005.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the ments is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) <u>31-40</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	vod.	
Occ the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receiv	reu.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Tatent Application (FTO-102)	

Application/Control Number: 10/022,957

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status
Claims 1-20 have been canceled
Claims 21-40 have been appended.

Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on June 17, 2005 have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claim 21 only recites an abstract idea. The recited steps of merely receiving a request for a validation number, determining if the account has sufficient funds, and issuing a validation number does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps only constitute an idea of how to facilitate a transaction.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention allows obtaining a request for a certified check (i.e., repeatable) a issuing a validation number for said check (i.e., useful and tangible).

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claim 1 is deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

All that is necessary to make a sequence of operational steps in a statutory process within 35 U.S.C. 101 is that it be in the technological arts so as to be in concordance with the Constitutional purpose to promote the progress of "useful arts" *In re Musgrave*, 431 F.2d 882 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970)

A claim is limited to a practical application when the method, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result: i.e. the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. See AT&T v. Excel Communications Inc., 172 F3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2dat 1452.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,957

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 21-28 are dependant on claim 21 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29- 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US Patent 5,884,288) in view of Bozeman (US Patent 6,754,640).

As per claim 29,

Chang et al. ('288) discloses a method by a financial institution, the method comprising, by server associated with the financial institution:

Receiving from a first party over the Internet a request for a validation number associated with a check and monetary amount of the check; (Figure 6 [bill paymeny database contains validation numbers])

issuing a validation number the check associated with the check.(Column 8, lines 4-12[check is transmitted to payee])

Chang et al. ('288) does not explicitly disclose determining an account associated with the first party contains sufficient funds to cover the monetary amount of the check. Bozeman ('640) discloses determining an account associated with the first party contains sufficient funds to cover the monetary amount of the check. (Column 12, lines 7-19 [..checks for sufficient funds...]) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Chang et al. ('288). method with the Bozeman ('640) method in order to prevent illegal transactions from ocuring.

Claim 30 is in parallel with claim 29 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and complying with double patenting statutes.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached at (571) 272-6712. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the Knox Building, 50 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA.

JMW August 21, 2005 Ishla flue I FRIMIRY EXAMINER